“Dior, J’adore”: The role of contextual information of luxury on emotional responses to perfumes
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ABSTRACT

Luxury conveys values of quality and rarity and holds a particular emotional meaning. Yet, studies conducted on the impact of contextual information of luxury on emotional responses to products remain scarce. In this study, we tested whether contextual information, in particular evoking luxury, could influence emotional responses to perfumes, which are known to be powerful elicitors of emotion. More specifically, we measured the subjective, physiological, and expressive components of participants’ emotional responses. We conducted an experiment in which participants had to smell and assess perfumed pens as well as blank pens (i.e., without perfume) presented either in a luxurious context (i.e., name, brand and bottle), a non-luxurious one, or no information. Results indicated that participants tended to rate perfumes as more pleasant and rated them as more familiar when presented in a luxurious context than in a non-luxurious one or without context, and the blank pen as more irritating in a non-luxurious context than in a luxurious one. However, we did not find evidence of a significant contextual information effect on expressive or physiological indicators. Our findings suggest that contextual information of luxury can moderately influence the subjective component of participants’ emotional responses, while no evidence for such effect was found with respect to the physiological and expressive components.

1. Introduction

Despite the financial crisis, luxury has remained a sustainable growing industry with a market value that went from €128 billion in 2003 to €251 billion in 2015 (Bain, 2016). Luxury stands for quality and rarity and holds strong hedonic meanings (Berthon, Pitt, Parent, & Berthon, 2009). Going beyond functional benefits, luxury consumption relies on emotional and socio-psychological benefits (Bauer, von Wallpach, & Hemetsberger, 2011; Vigneron & Johnson, 1999, 2004) delivered through the symbolism of an expensive yet thriving experience of unicity and aesthetics (Belk, 1988; Meghee & Spake, 2012). The subjective value of luxury products/services is enhanced by their scarcity, increasing individuals’ willingness to pay a premium price (Truong & McColl, 2011). According to Hagtvedt and Patrick (2009), the difference between consumption of luxury versus non-luxury goods/services lies in the hedonistic versus utilitarian motives, respectively. While luxury is assumed to guarantee emotional benefits at a superior cost, non-luxury offers low-priced products but uncertain emotional value.

Emotional responses to products go beyond the evaluation of liking (e.g., Delplanque, Coppin, & Sander, 2017). Emotions are drivers of behavior and influence attitudes toward the environment (Ekman, 1992; Grandjean, Sander, & Scherer, 2008; Lavender & Hommel, 2007). One consensus among emotion theorists is that emotions emerge in response to a relevant event or a situation and help an organism to react by adapting to the environment (Ekman, 1992; Grandjean et al., 2008; Lerner, Li, Valdesolo, & Kassam, 2015; Russell, 1980). Emotions are manifested as changes in several components of the organism, i.e., cognitive processes, physiological response, feeling, facial expression, and action tendencies (Grandjean et al., 2008).

Given the specific anatomical overlaps between olfactory and emotion-related neural structures (Anderson et al., 2003; Carmichael, Clugnet, & Price, 1994; Dalton, 2002; Grabenhorst, Rolls, Margot, da Silva, & Velazco, 2007; Zelano, Montag, Johnson, Khan, & Sobel, 2007), the role of contextual information of luxury on emotional responses to perfumes, which are known to be powerful elicitors of emotion. More specifically, we measured the subjective, physiological, and expressive components of participants’ emotional responses. We conducted an experiment in which participants had to smell and assess perfumed pens as well as blank pens (i.e., without perfume) presented either in a luxurious context (i.e., name, brand and bottle), a non-luxurious one, or no information. Results indicated that participants tended to rate perfumes as more pleasant and rated them as more familiar when presented in a luxurious context than in a non-luxurious one or without context, and the blank pen as more irritating in a non-luxurious context than in a luxurious one. However, we did not find evidence of a significant contextual information effect on expressive or physiological indicators. Our findings suggest that contextual information of luxury can moderately influence the subjective component of participants’ emotional responses, while no evidence for such effect was found with respect to the physiological and expressive components.
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olfaction stands out in the sensory landscape for its particular and close relation with the world of emotions. Odors affect behavior (Bensafi et al., 2002a, 2002b), mood, and well-being (Alaouii-Ismaill, Vernet-Maury, Dittmar, Delhomme, & Chanel, 1997; Rétiveau & Milliken, 2004; Warrenburg, 2005), as well as cognitive processes such as memory and preference acquisition (Herz, Eliassen, Beland, & Souza, 2004; Leppänen & Hietanen, 2003). The importance of perfumery through the ages (Le Guéry, 1994) attests to the close links between odors and phenomena such as emotions. Preference for a given perfume relies on affective processes such as attachment and nostalgia related to it (Lambert-Pandraud & Laurent, 2010), or to striving for an ideal self (Belk, 1988).

Interest for a perfume is triggered by the evaluation of the information related to it. Contextual information plays a key role in the evaluation of products. Several studies have investigated the effect of store environment (e.g., odor, music, lighting, and human crowding) on feelings and, subsequently, on shopping behavior (for summary, see Lam, 2001). Further investigation on the importance of emotional responses to products’ characteristics revealed effects of the product’s name (Porchérot et al., 2012), color (Porchérot, Delplanque, Gaudreau, & Cayeux, 2013), and packaging (Ng, Chaya, & Hort, 2013) on individuals’ emotional response to odors, beverages, and fabric softeners. A product’s name and brand constitute the first line of the marketing strategy (Akedzin, Calantone, & Voorhees, 2013; Dawar & Parker, 1994). For perfumes, elements such as the design of the bottle and the advertising environment provide individuals with information and allow them to form an initial idea about the product (Julien, 1997). The name settles the desirability of the perfume in potential individuals’ minds. Highly related to semantic references, the name transmits information about the perfume itself, but also reflects the brand.

In the present study, we tested whether contextual information, in particular evoking luxury, could influence emotional responses to a perfume. More precisely, we hypothesized that contextual information of luxury would elicit the concept related to luxury (e.g., prestige and quality) and influence the emotional response. Since an emotional response is understood to consist of changes in several components, not only should we be able to retrieve changes via questionnaires (measuring feelings), but other indicators of emotional components should also emerge through physiological responses and facial motor expressions (for an overview, see Meiselman, 2016). We conducted an experiment in which participants had to smell perfumes and assess them while physiological and expressive measures were recorded. Perfumes were presented to the participants in association with a luxurious brand name and bottle or a non-luxurious name, brand and bottle or a number. We assessed the extent to which contextual information of luxury could influence emotional response, as measured with subjective, physiological, and expressive components.

2. Method

2.1. Preliminary study and selection of the stimuli

Nine commercially available perfumes were pre-selected as luxurious (see Table 1) and a preliminary study was conducted to control the perceived luxury of their brand and name. This preliminary study was approved by the ethics committee of the Psychology Department of the University of Geneva. Sixty undergraduate female students (mean age = 20.27 ± 3.1 years) from the Psychology Department at the University of Geneva filled out a questionnaire regarding the luxury character of different perfumes based on the perfume’s name and brand. Participants were asked to rate the luxury of each perfume’s brand and name on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (“not luxurious at all”) to 7 (“very luxurious”) by answering the following question: To what extent does this perfume seem luxurious to you? This questionnaire included nine perfumes pre-selected as luxurious and 18 perfumes that were preselected as non-luxurious. The order of the perfumes was counterbalanced across participants.

In the main experiment, the 9 luxury perfumes previously selected were used as olfactory stimuli. We added two blank pens (i.e., without perfume) that were associated to the name, brand, and bottle of two non-luxury perfumes (“Acqua di Gio, Giorgio Armani” and “Nina, Nina Ricci”) or to the name, brand, and bottle of two non-luxury perfumes (“L’eau de Kookai” and “Fleur de vigne, Caudalie”). Blank pens were introduced to control participants’ compliance with the instructions, to help maintaining participants’ attention and because they may be used to investigate the influence of luxury information in the absence of a perfume.

2.2. Participants

Twenty-one students from the Faculty of Psychology at the University of Geneva (all females; mean age = 22.7 ± 3.3 years) took part in this experiment. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Psychology Department of the University of Geneva. Participants were individually tested and paid 50 Swiss Francs (approximately 50 US dollars) for their participation. On the days of testing, participants were asked not to wear any perfume. They all self-reported a normal sense of smell and were free from respiratory infections when they participated. Because of impedance problems with electromyography (EMG) electrodes, we could not record EMG during baseline sessions for two participants. We consequently removed all their data from the analyses.

2.3. Material

In the main experiment, the 9 luxury perfumes previously selected were used as olfactory stimuli. We added two blank pens (i.e., without perfume) that were associated to the name, brand, and bottle of either two luxury perfumes (“Acqua di Gio, Giorgio Armani” and “Nina, Nina Ricci”) or to the name, brand, and bottle of two non-luxury perfumes (“L’eau de Kookai” and “Fleur de vigne, Caudalie”). Blank pens were introduced to control participants’ compliance with the instructions, to help maintaining participants’ attention and because they may be used to investigate the influence of luxury information in the absence of a perfume.

2.3.1. Subjective ratings

During the main experiment and for each trial, participants reported their subjective experience of the perfumes as well as their evoked feelings using several scales presented on paper sheets.

Subjective ratings: each perfume was evaluated on continuous 10-cm rating scales on pleasantness [very unpleasant (left of scale = 0 cm) to neutral (middle of scale, 5 cm) to very pleasant (right of scale, 10 cm)], intensity [not perceived (left) to medium (middle) to strong (right)], and familiarity [not familiar at all (left) to very familiar (right)] (see

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfume name</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Non-luxury perfume name</th>
<th>Brand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angel</td>
<td>Thierry Mugler</td>
<td>Bellissima</td>
<td>Eau Jeune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chanel n°5</td>
<td>Chanel</td>
<td>Chewing gum</td>
<td>Pinkie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ck One</td>
<td>Calvin Klein</td>
<td>Eau Vitaminiée</td>
<td>Biotherm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower</td>
<td>Kenzo</td>
<td>Etoile d’or</td>
<td>André</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J’adore</td>
<td>Dior</td>
<td>Naturelle</td>
<td>Yves Rocher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light blue</td>
<td>Dolce &amp; Gabbana</td>
<td>Quicksilver</td>
<td>Quicksilver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romance</td>
<td>Ralph Lauren</td>
<td>Roxy</td>
<td>Roxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsara</td>
<td>Guerlain</td>
<td>San</td>
<td>Gil Sander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trevisor</td>
<td>Lancôme</td>
<td>So pink</td>
<td>GAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Names and brands of perfumes used. In the non-luxury condition, the association of the luxury perfume with the non-luxury brand, name and bottle was as follows: Light blue with Bellissama; J’adore with Roxy; Samsara with Etoile d’or; Flower with So Pink; CK One with Sun; Romance with Quicksilver; Chanel n° 5 with Eau Vitaminiée; Trevisor with Naturelle; Angel with Chewing Gum.
Delplanque et al., 2008, for details).

Feelings elicited by the perfume: we used the Geneva Emotion Odor Scale (GEOS; Chrea et al., 2009). Participants had to evaluate their feelings associated with the perfumes according to three terms summarized in one main feeling term (see Porcherot et al., 2010). Instructions were as follows: “We would like you to describe your feelings associated with each perfume you are going to smell. We would like you to rate the intensity of different feelings evoked by the perfume using a series of three terms corresponding to each main type of feeling. To answer, place the cursor along the scale at the point that corresponds to the intensity of your feeling, on a scale from “Not at all intense (left of scale = 0 cm)” to “Extremely intense (right of scale, 10 cm)”. Perfumes were rated on the following main feelings: pleasant feeling, sensuality, unpleasant feeling, relaxation, sensory pleasure, refreshment (see Table 2).

2.3.2. Physiological recordings
The methodology for physiological recordings was the same as described in Delplanque et al. (2009) and Pichon et al. (2015). Physiological signals were acquired with the MP150 System (Biopac Systems, Santa Barbara, CA; 500-Hz sampling rate).

Respiratory activity was assessed by placing on the participant two respiratory belts that measured abdominal and thoracic expansion and contraction. Electromyography (EMG) recordings were collected, digitized, and stored (bandwidth 0.1–417 Hz, sample rate: 2048 Hz) with a BIOSEMI Active-Two amplifier system (Biosemi Biomedical Instrumentation, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Four active electrodes were placed over the right corrugator and zygomaticus regions of the face, corresponding to two distinct bipolar montages of interest (Fridlund & Cacioppo, 1986). Two additional electrodes placed above the inion (the common mode sense active electrode and the driven right leg passive electrode) were used as recording reference and ground electrodes, respectively (see http://www.biosemi.com/faq/cms&drl.htm, for more information).

2.4. Procedure
Participants were informed that their task was to evaluate perfumes using different scales and that either the perfumes’ names, brands and bottles were presented with the perfumes or not. Participants gave their written consent and performed three sessions (counterbalanced between participants), namely, Baseline, Luxurious, and Non-Luxurious, separated by 1 week. In total, there were 11 trials per session (nine perfumes + two blank pens). During each session, the nine luxury perfumes previously selected were presented to participants in random order. During each trial, a perfume’s brand, name and bottle was presented on a computer screen according to the sessions (see Fig. 1): in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective Attributes</th>
<th>Feelings categories (bold text) with the evaluated items (regular text)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleasant feeling</td>
<td>Sensuality, Unpleasant feelings, Relaxation, Sensory pleasure, Refreshment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Happiness, Well-being, Romantic, Disgusted, Irritated, Unpleasantly surprised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity</td>
<td>Pleasantly surprised, Desire, In love, Relaxed, Serene, Res assured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasanntness</td>
<td>Relaxation, Sensory pleasure, Refreshment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5.3. Facial muscle activity

Conventional bipolar montages were calculated from electrode pairs for each muscle by subtracting the activity of one electrode placed over the muscle from the activity of the other electrode nearby by using Brain Vision Analyzer software (Brain Products, Gilching, Germany). Signals were then filtered with a 20–400 Hz band-pass digital filter, rectified, and low-passed filtered below 40 Hz. We used the EMG amplitude for the corrugator supercilii and zygomaticus major during the 1 s before perfumes or blank pen presentation as the baseline. To allow analysis of the temporal profiles of facial EMG for 5 s after inhalation of different perfumes or blank pen, we converted mean EMG amplitudes during the subsequent five 1-s time intervals into a percentage of the mean amplitude of the baseline (Delplanque et al., 2009). This was done to standardize absolute EMG amplitudes and enable comparison between groups and individuals (e.g., de Wied, van Bostel, Zaalberg, Goudena, & Matthys, 2006). Furthermore, trials for which EMG percentage was superior or inferior to the total mean percentage plus or minus three standard deviations, in any time window, were removed from the analyses to exclude any potential over-representation of a particular trial. We thus excluded, in total, for the Corrugator: 8, 8 and 7 trials in baseline, luxury and non-luxury conditions, respectively, and for the Zygomaticus: 8, 15 and 14 (out of 209 trials per condition).

2.5.4. Heart rate

Electrocardiographic R waves were detected off-line, and intervals between heartbeats were converted into heart rate, expressed in beats per minute. The heart response evoked by the inhalation of the perfume consisted of biphasic activity with a peak in cardiac acceleration at about 3 s, and then a decrease in heart rate that reached a minimum at about 6 s after the onset of inspiration (Delplanque et al., 2009; Pichon et al., 2015; Sharvit, Vuilleumier, Delplanque, & Corradi-Dell’Acqua, 2015). We analyzed the maximum negative variation in the 5- to 8-s window following stimulus presentation (heart rate deceleration) to investigate whether this phase was sensitive to perfume pleasantness. We used the heart rate time course during the 10 s before perfumes or blank pen presentation as baseline.

3. Results

Analyses were performed using the Statsoft Statistica 13 software (http://www.statsoft.com). We were interested in the influence of contextual information of luxury on emotional responses to perfumes. Consequently, we conducted separate analyses for the perfumes and the blank pen. Moreover, we only reported analyses relevant to this research question.

3.1. Subjective ratings

First, we investigated whether contextual information of luxury could influence participants’ subjective ratings. We conducted three two-way repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) with perfume (9: all perfumes except the blank pen) and contextual information (3: baseline, luxury condition and non-luxury condition) as within subject factors and perfume intensity, separately.

3.1.1. Familiarity ratings

Familiarity ratings were modulated by the contextual information $[F(2, 36) = 4.39, p < .05; \eta^2_p = .19]$. Here, participants rated the perfumes in the non-luxurious condition as less familiar than in the luxurious one ($p = .016$), the last two conditions not being statistically different from obtained in the baseline condition ($p_{s} > 0.14$, Fig. 2). This main effect was qualified by the interaction between perfume and contextual information $[F(16, 288) = 1.86, p = .023; \eta^2_p = .09]$. This
revealed that information of luxury influenced familiarity ratings in a perfume-dependent manner.

3.1.2. Pleasantness ratings

Pleasantness ratings were also influenced by the contextual information \( F(2, 36) = 3.54, p = .039; \eta^2_p = .16 \). More specifically, Tukey HSD post hoc comparisons revealed that participants tended to rate the perfumes as more pleasant in the luxurious than non-luxurious \( (p = .075) \) or in the baseline conditions \( (p = .061) \), the last two not being statistically different from each other \( (p = .99, \text{Fig. 2}) \).

3.1.3. Perfume intensity ratings

Perfume intensity ratings were not statistically influenced by the contextual information \( F(2, 36) = 0.40, p = .67 \).

3.1.4. Feelings ratings

Next, we conducted a three-way repeated measures ANOVA on GEOS ratings with perfume (9: all perfumes except the blank pen), contextual information (3: baseline, luxury condition and non-luxury condition) and feeling category (6: pleasant feeling, sensuality, unpleasant feeling, relaxation, sensory pleasure, refreshment) as within subject factors. Subjective ratings were different across feelings categories \( F(5, 85) = 13.18, p < .001; \eta^2_p = .43 \) and across perfumes \( F(8, 136) = 5.28, p < .001; \eta^2_p = .23 \). These main effects were qualified by the interaction of perfume × feeling category \( F(40, 680) = 3.49, p < .001; \eta^2_p = .17 \), showing that the reported feelings depended on the perfume (Fig. 3a). Lastly, no main effect nor any interaction involving the contextual information reached significance \( (F_s < 1.14, p_s > .17) \).

3.2. Peripheral physiology

We performed two way repeated measures ANOVAs with perfume (9: all perfumes except the blank pen) and contextual information (3: baseline, luxury condition and non-luxury condition) as within subject factors on skin conductance responses’ magnitude, heart rate amplitudes and respiratory belts amplitudes, separately. These analyses did not reveal any statistically significant main effect of perfume, contextual informal nor any interaction \( (F_s < 1.54, p_s > .22) \). Results are reported in Figs. 4–7 in the supplementary results material.

3.3. Facial muscle activity

We conducted three way repeated measures ANOVAs with perfume (9: all perfumes except the blank pen), contextual information (3: baseline, luxury condition and non-luxury condition) and time (0–1000 ms, 1000–2000 ms, 2000–3000 ms, 3000–4000 ms, 4000–5000 ms) as within subject factors on the mean percentage of zygomaticus and corrugator activity, separately. The percentage of activity of both muscles increased over time \( F(4, 72) = 2.76, 5.07 p_s < .033, 0.001; \eta^2_p = .13, 0.21; \text{corrugator and zygomaticus respectively} \) but was neither modulated by the perfume nor the contextual information \( (F_s < 1.42, p_s > .18) \). Results are reported in Figs. 8 and 9 in the supplementary results material.

3.4. Analyses on blank pen

We performed all the analyses presented from 3.1 to 3.3 on the measures obtained for the blank pen. These analyses failed to reveal statistically significant main effects of the contextual information or any interaction with other factors \( (F_s < 1.97, p_s > .15) \), except for the GEOS ratings (see Fig. 3b). Thus, for the GEOS ratings, we observed a significant contextual information by feeling category interaction \( F(10, 180) = 2.03, p = .032; \eta^2_p = .10 \). We further characterized this interaction by supplementary ANOVAs with contextual information (3: baseline, luxury condition and non-luxury condition) as a within subject factor on each emotional category. These analyses revealed a main effect of the contextual information on "refreshment" (Energetic – Invigorated – Clean) \( F(2, 36) = 3.33, p = .046; \eta^2_p = .15 \). Participants rated this feeling as lower in the non-luxurious condition than in the luxurious one \( (p = .050, \text{Tukey HSD post hoc comparison}) \), the last two conditions not being statistically different from the baseline condition \( (p_s > .14) \). Similar to what we observed for perfumes, corrugator and zygomaticus muscular activities in response to the blank pen increased over time \( F(4, 72) = 4.54, 4.34 p_s = 0.002, 0.003; \eta^2_p = .20, .19 \) respectively.

3.5. Additional questions

Ratings results showed that participants reported a priori luxury brands and perfume’s bottles as being statistically more luxurious \( (\text{mean} = 5.60 \pm 1.73) \) than a priori non-luxury brands and perfumes' bottles \( (\text{mean} = 3.14 \pm 1.41; F(1, 18) = 86.63, p < .001; \eta^2_p = .82) \). On average, participants were able to correctly recognize 1.26 ± 1.05 perfumes out of 9 after the three sessions.

4. Discussion

In this study, we tested the extent to which emotional responses to perfumes vary according to contextual information of luxury. The contextual information within which the very same perfumes were delivered was manipulated in three conditions: luxurious name, brand and bottle (i.e., luxury condition), non-luxurious name, brand and bottle (i.e., non-luxury condition) and number only (i.e., baseline). We measured the subjective, physiological, and expressive components of participants’ emotional responses to perfumes.

Subjective ratings of familiarity were sensitive to the contextual information of luxury, and ratings of pleasantness tended to be too. More specifically, participants rated perfumes as more familiar when labeled as luxurious rather than non-luxurious. The fact that non-luxury names and brands were less familiar than luxury ones may account for this effect. To further test this idea, we ran a complementary analysis where we examined changes between the luxurious and non luxurious conditions. Changes in luxury ratings of the perfume names, brand and bottle were not correlated with changes in perfumes familiarity ratings [Spearman rank test, \( r_s(9) = -.03; p = .93 \)]. This analysis strongly suggests that any effects of contextual luxury information we observed were not driven by familiarity.

\(^2\text{In a short online survey (performed with Qualtrics, www.Qualtrics.com), we presented the names, brands and bottles of the perfumes used in the study and we asked 15 participants (11 women; mean age = 28.6 ± 4.4 years) to report how familiar was the presented perfume on a scale ranging from (not familiar at all (left) to very familiar (right)). Results showed that the luxury perfumes were more familiar than the non-luxurious ones [F(1, 14) = 78.3, p < .001; \eta^2_p = .85].}
Participants tended to rate perfumes as more pleasant when labeled as luxurious than when labeled as non-luxurious or not labeled. This suggests that the positive values conveyed by luxury tended to be transferred to perfume’s pleasantness. One could argue that participants knew the perfumes they were presented with, knew their names, brand or bottle and were able to correctly identify them. In that case, the luxury condition was a “correct labelling”, the non-luxury condition an “incorrect labelling” and the baseline condition a “no labelling”. This interpretation appears unlikely since the recall test performed at the end of the experiment demonstrated that participants could not identify what they smelled, even after being presented with the correct names, brands and bottles. This is not surprising since participants were undergraduate students in Psychology and not trained to identify perfumes. More generally, even with an excellent olfactory acuity, it is difficult to identify odors when no cue is presented (Frank, Dulay, & Gesteland, 2003; Fusari & Ballesteros, 2008).

Our results demonstrated that the perfumes evoked different feelings as measured with GEOS but there was no statistically significant modulation of those reported feelings by the contextual information of luxury. The main driver of feelings consequently appears to be the sensory experience of the perfume rather than the contextual information. This idea is consistent with previous findings (e.g., Porcherot et al., 2013) showing that feelings evoked by fabric softeners mostly depended on the perfumes but were hardly sensitive to the contextual information (here, manipulated with different colors, see also Porcherot, Delplanque, Ferdenzi, Gaudreau, & Cayeux, 2016 for a discussion on this topic). In contrast, we found a contextual effect in one of the feelings’ categories for the blank pen (i.e., no perfume) when the contextual information may play a more prominent role. Contextual information of luxury reinforced the feeling of “Refreshment” (defined with the terms Energetic – Invigorated – Clean) when no perfume is presented. The interpretation of this effect remains difficult and tentative but we speculate that by contrast with clear-cut olfactory stimulations, in the absence of any olfactory input, participants’ expectation to smell a luxurious perfume could have specifically induced an arousal reaction. This reaction would have led participants to rate the blank pen as eliciting more “refreshment” feelings (Energetic – Invigorated – Clean) in the luxury

![Fig. 3. Mean feelings ratings according to (a) the perfumes (except the blank pen) and (b) the contextual information of luxury (blank pen only).](image-url)
condition. To our knowledge, there is no direct evidence that luxurious items elicit more arousal than non-luxurious ones and further studies could focus on this important aspect of emotional reactions to luxury.

Similar to the findings by Pichon et al. (2015), none of the physiological or expressive indicators was significantly modulated in response to different perfumes or contexts evoking luxury. These results are not surprising when one thinks of emotions as adaptive responses to a relevant situation (e.g., Sander, Grandjean, & Scherer, 2005). In this framework, the physiological and expressive components of an emotional episode should be adapted to the environment currently faced. Yet, perfumes, all of them being particularly pleasant, are unlikely to require different behavioral adaptations to the environment, even when presented with different contextual information. Previous studies showing sensitivity of the physiological measures to discriminate the emotional response compared wider range of emotional stimulation than perfumes with similar range of pleasantness (He, Boesveldt, de Graaf, & de Wijk, 2016; He, de Wijk, de Graaf, & Boesveldt, 2016). However, recent studies suggested that physiological measures are not sensitive enough to discriminate between olfactory stimuli that are restricted in their pleasantness range (Beyts et al., 2017; Pichon et al., 2015). Pichon et al. (2015) suggested that these measured responses could differentiate among odors polarized along the pleasantness spectrum or evoking representations linked to different functions of olfaction (i.e., relative to ingestion, avoiding environmental hazards and emotional contagion; Stevenson, 2010). This is presumably, why we fail to observe a modulation of physiological and expressive components by contextual information of luxury or in response to different perfumes.

One could question whether a simple name, a brand or a bottle presented on a screen, or even the combination of the three as we did here, could properly operationalize luxury.

Luxury brands are known to convey luxury values (Gentry, Putrevu, Shultz & Commuri, 2001; Vigneron & Johnson, 1999, 2004). Using displays of luxury and non-luxury information, Brucks, Zeithaml, and Naylor (2000) found that participants rely more frequently on brands’ cue when evaluating the prestige dimension of a product. Lee, Ko, and Meghee (2015) manipulated logos of luxury and non-luxury brands to evoke the social values associated to the brands. Logos presented on polo shirts influenced wearers’ wealth and status evaluated by the participants. This perception of higher status elicited favorable treatment in social interactions, as it would be expected by the global concept of luxury. Manipulating luxury using brands’ names or logos has also been demonstrated as powerful enough to differentiate materialistic vs. non-materialistic populations (Audrin, Brosch, Chanal, & Sander, 2017) or to influence social rejection and life satisfaction perception (Jiang, Gao, Huang, DeWall, & Zhou, 2014). Brands’ name and logos manipulation is also associated with measurable differential activations in reward as well as self-centered cognitions related areas in the brain (e.g., Schaefer, 2009; Schaefer & Rotte, 2007 for a review). These results echoed with the role of luxury brands as rewarding marker of higher status symbol. All these studies provide solid arguments in favor of this induction method since they demonstrated influences on many facets of luxury. Although a field study may be preferable to induce the whole concept of luxury, an experimental study with psychophysiological measures would be almost impossible to conduct reliably in a field context.

Although modest, our results provide evidence for the use of subjective measures, which highlighted differences in reactions to perfumes associated with different contextual information of luxury. Classic psychophysiological measures did not significantly change across the contexts used here. Consequently, the use of classic and easy-to-set-up psychophysiological indicators for subtle measures of emotional response to stimuli such as perfumes may be questioned when one is interested in contextual effects for relatively similarly pleasant perfumes (see also Pichon et al., 2015). However, we should not exclude the possibility that future advancements in physiological recording capabilities and signal processing associated with the use of alternative physiological measures (e.g., neuroendocrines or brain related measures) could provide more fine-grained psychophysiological differentiations of fragrances or bring out more subtle contextual influences.

More generally, though feelings, expressions and physiological emotional responses are related, measured changes in one component do not automatically involve measured modifications in the other. Appraisal theories of emotion (component process model; Sander et al., 2005; Scherer, 1982) posit that the emotional components have synchronized modifications in response to a stimulus, but they remain different and separate processes with specific temporal dynamics, and possibly different sensitivities for the measures typically used. In accordance with previous findings (Pichon et al., 2015), our results underline the need to investigate modifications in different emotional components in order to fully capture an emotional episode.

5. Conclusion

This study shows that contextual information of luxury can lead to limited differences in emotional responses to perfumes: luxury information influenced the subjective component of emotion, and in a moderate fashion. In contrast, our analyses did not reveal any significant sensitivity of the physiological and expressive components to luxury contexts. Hence these results contribute to the literature on emotions by highlighting the importance of investigating its different sub-components.
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